2021 LDW Program Action Learning Project

Mandy Hunt Kristen Carter Bronwyn Harvey Sophia Dywili







Explore the career development and promotion pathways for academic and professional general female employees.

- Consider the current pathway(s) for academic employees to get to Level E
- Consider the current pathway(s) for professional general employees to reach Level 10
- What patterns or themes, particularly related to females, do you notice emerging from your analysis and discussions?
- What, if any, are the perceived barriers to promotion or career progression for women in each of these career paths?
- What would 'good' look like to you?



Pathways for professional/general employees

Pathway	Detail
Recruitment	Internal, external, direct appointment
Reclassification	2 rounds per year
Acting in positions/secondment	Upon availability

There is no clear pathway for professional/general staff



Learnings from the program - Kristen

- Take opportunities or create them
- Trust in being on the right path
- Everyone works differently. Adapt your style to get the most out of your team
- Pathways are unique





Academic Pathways

Pathway (Levels A-E)	Evidence
	Plans and implements teaching/ learning activities
Level A - Associate Lecturer	Provides timely feedback to students
	Evidence: student feedback; SES reports; teaching rev.
	Plans and designs learning activities. Innovative
Level B – Lecturer	Mentors sessional staff
	Evidence : Contribution to leadership roles; staff mentorship;
Level C – Senior Lecturer	Leads curriculum dvpt at course level; leads moderation and quality assurance; serves as student advisor. Evidence: Details of teaching grants and awards applied for; impact of projects;
Level D – Associate Professor	Mentors and supports colleagues; contributes to teaching and curriculum dvpt at national level; contributes to national and international committees; etc Evidence: Awards and citations for learning materials;
Level E – Professor	Leads curriculum design and review; contributes to dvpt and review of learning and teaching policies; a sustained contribution to learning and teaching scholarship. Evidence: Awards; citations; nominations
	Evidence: Awards; citations; nominations



Learnings from the program - Sophia

- Opportunity put your hand up for acting positions.
- Always take the challenge get out of the comfort zone!
 Self-belief.
- The need for trust and transparency in leadership.





Barriers for Professional/General employees

Pathway	Barrier	Recommendations
Recruitment	 Qualifications valued over actual experience and skills. Qualification sought in recruitment doesn't always contribute to actual role. Women tending to only apply if they meet 100% of selection criteria. 	 Reduce selection criteria and complex application processes with a greater focus on work experience and transferable skills. Provide recruitment training for managers. Explore different application processes for different positions (internal vs external). Create various application pathways for diverse groups of applicants.
Reclassification	 Application process is cumbersome, confusing and lengthy. Low success rate. Roles outside of the scope of comparative roles at similar grade are not given appropriate consideration. Decision is final with no appeal. 	 Implement a more transparent, and achievable system for reclassification for General/Professional staff. Remove unnecessary questions from the application form. Consult with manager. Provide personalised feedback on application.
Professional Development	 Lack of meaningful and appropriate courses for professional staff available through CSU. Access to study support scheme limited to permanent staff. 	 Develop and nurture a culture that creates opportunities and encourages staff to grow. Expand access to Study Support Scheme, or financial subsidies for further education to all fixed term staff whilst employed by CSU and extend the offering beyond post graduate courses. More leadership and other programs. Programs developed for career pathways (talent pool, secondment register).



Barriers for Academic employees

Barrier	Detail	Recommendations
Recruitment/ Academic Promotion	 Not having a PhD, or sufficient research experience. This is valued over industry experience Women only applying if 100% of selection criteria is met Self-belief / waiting to be overqualified Application process is difficult and time consuming Sometimes academics leave CSU to gain further industry experience and knowledge — then return for promotion. Uncertain environment- (VUCA): sector; funding issues 	 Consider the weighting of industry experience in the recruitment process Consider industry and lived experien ce, as well as research, in the Academic promotion system Continue monitoring of promotion processes; LDW program; diversity, etc.
Professional Development	 Heavy focus on research performance rather than industry experience Limited opportunities/time to develop oneself 	 Develop and nurture a culture that creates opportunities and encourages staff to grow



Learnings from the Program - Mandy

- Seek out and embrace as many opportunities for development as you can
- Lead by example and be inspiring to others ALWAYS
- Believe in yourself and utilise your support networks
- What You See is What You Can Be!





Learnings from the Program - Bronwyn

- GROW ask more questions to get to solutions
- Brene Brown Embrace the suck, acknowledge the emotion don't accept the behaviour
- Never say no to an opportunity





Team Learnings

- Opportunity to connect with others we wouldn't usually work with and have open discussions with senior leaders
- Reducing silos and creating networks (in a safe space)
- Drawing on the skill set and expertise of team members
- Understanding each other helped with our communication

ESTJ v ESFJ v ESTP v ISTJ





Thank you



