

Subject Outline Quality Assurance Checklist

		OLEAD E	0014		
SUBJECT AND OFFERING:	REVIEWER:	CLEAR F	ORM		
This Quality Assurance (QA) Checklist may be used by subject conveners, QA Officers/moderators/reviewers as a guide when preparing/reviewing <u>subject outlines</u> .					
The checklist should be used in conjunction with the the requirements for assessment in subjects. The C requirements for assessment information in subject	ourse and Subject Information Procedure state		out		
Subject convener:					
moderator/Head of Discipline/QA officer.	nors AND enable editing access for authors included QUASAR for the previous offering, have been an Academic Skills Coordinator.	-	in		
Reviewer:					
 Please ensure all feedback is provided as co copy of the outline. 	omments within the online subject outline i.e., r	not on a PD	F		
GENERAL CONSIDERATIONS FOR ALL SECTION	ons		YES		
The tone of the communication with students in the excessive use of jargon and written in plain English		rmal, no			
Text is free of spelling and grammatical errors.					
References are formatted according to current APA	<u>1.</u>				
Hyperlinks are active.					
Tables are formatted correctly when viewed as a P	DF.				
SUBJECT INFORMATION			YES		
SUBJECT CONVENER/SUBJECT COORDINATO					
The correct information is provided with contact de	tails/availability/preferred mode of contact.				
SUBJECT CONTENT					
Copyright information is available from the <u>Brightsp</u> content is attributed according to best practice. This licence for education is stored in DOMS or Leganto Optional inclusion of information: see <u>Subject Content</u>	rd party copyright content used under the statut o.				
PRESCRIBED TEXT					
Ensure the following text is included in the outline: for your subjects on the <u>Current Students</u> site. Che					
STUDY SCHEDULE					
The schedule has been updated and the assessment seems.	ent due dates on the schedule match the due da	ates for			
The schedule includes public holidays and HECS of	ensus dates as per the schedule template offer	red. Pre-			

filled schedules are available at **Schedule Templates**.



WORK-INTEGRATED LEARNING				
All mandatory Work Integrated Learning questions have been answered.				
WORK-INTEGRATED LEARNING, WORKPLACE LEARNING AND/OR CLINICAL REQUIREMENTS (as relevant to subject offering)				
Presented clearly and concisely such that a student will understand requirements inc. pre-placement requirements, duration, timing, and cost of placement, availability of financial support in cases of hardship, and consequences for non-participation.				
As relevant, a statement is included regarding expectations of student conduct & standards for labs/clinics etc.				
INTENSIVE SCHOOL REQUIREMENTS (as relevant to subject offering)				
Presented clearly and concisely such that a student will understand requirements inc. duration and consequences for non-compliance.				
RECOMMENDED STUDENT TIME COMMITMENT				
Are the subject hours broken down for the student?				
Is there an indication of the ways that students will engage with the subject e.g. A table is included to provide indicative hours for study-related activities (as appropriate to subject).				
Engagement Approximate hours				
Weekly topic & supporting readings, note-taking & reflections (independent/self-directed) 4				
Group work activities 2				
Weekly classes 1				
Preparation and completion of assessment items 3				
Check <u>Guidelines for Calibrating Student Workload</u> to determine the appropriate workload for a subject.				

ASSESSMENT CHECK SUMMARY	YES
Subject learning outcomes are covered by the assessments in the Subject Outline.	
If assessments are in <u>Curriculum Design</u> , <u>Accreditation and Publication</u> (CDAP) system, assessment information in the Subject Outline are the same as CDAP.	
Assessment task design considers the students' stage of learning; diversity of learners; and risk of student academic misconduct. If using artificial intelligence (AI) tools in assessment items, adhere to the CSU Statement of Principles for the use of Artificial Intelligence. See GenAl Academic Portal (GAP). If use of Generative AI permitted, check "Yes" in assessment item.	
The subject includes an early low-stakes and/or trigger assessment task.	
Group assessment work contributes no more than 50% of the total subject mark. If group assessment, check "Yes" in assessment item.	
When setting hurdle assessments, adhere to the <u>Assessment Policy</u> . The Subject Outline must clearly identify hurdle tasks and explain what mark the student must achieve to pass the subject. If hurdle assessment, check "Yes" in assessment item.	
Students may apply for a seven day auto-extension on assessments in accordance with the <u>Assessment Flexibility Procedure</u> . See <u>Assessment seven day auto-extension – frequently asked questions</u>	
If seven day auto-extension, check "Yes" in assessment item.	
The final assessment due date is on or before the last day of teaching (before the official Exam Period).	
Exam assessment items are scheduled during the designated exam period to ensure they are timetabled centrally by the Exams Office. (<i>If applicable</i>).	



REQUIREMENTS TO PASS THIS SUBJECT						
The requirements to pass this subject are reasonable and in line with Charles Sturt policy. <u>Assessment Policy</u> ; <u>Assessment - Conduct of Coursework Assessment and Examinations Procedure</u>						
ASSESSMENT ITEMS	A1	A2	А3	A4	A5	A6
Value: % value or SY/US is provided						
Due date: clearly shown, and consistent						
Submission methods: select appropriate method for Assessments & Exams						
Hurdle Assessment: clearly identify and explain what mark the student must achieve to pass the subject.						
Submission date: clearly shown, and consistent						
Task: The task description includes all necessary information for the student to complete the assessment item, written in plain English, using student-facing language (e.g., use 'you', rather than 'the student'). The opening statement clearly explains to the student what they must produce.						
Rationale: The assessment task is aligned with the selected learning outcomes and clearly explains the purpose of the task to the student. Links to professional/industry accreditation (where applicable).						
Exams and tests state which learning activities and materials are to be tested (if applicable).						
Reference style and a link to details of this style are included with each task description (where applicable).						
CRITERIA AND STANDARDS		•	•	•	•	•
Marking criteria align to the learning outcomes being assessed.						
The standard for a Pass demonstrates minimum required achievement of the learning outcome/s.						
Marking criteria and standards are explicit, measurable, and valid.						
Criteria are specific to the individual task.						
No new information is presented in the criteria and standards (i.e., all relevant information should be in the task description).						
Include HD to PS (FL can be included if it is a school requirement) or SY/US.						
Progression is logical, non-quantitative, and self-contained (not building on lower grades, e.g., NOT Credit = pass + a bit more).						
Written in unambiguous and non-subjective language that differentiates between student work at each level.						
PRESENTATION						
The referencing expectations are clearly conveyed to students and included with each task description.						
Specific instructions/description/specifications for presenting and submitting the task are provided (where applicable).						



ASSESSMENT AND EXAM INFORMATION			
HOW TO SUBMIT YOUR ASSESSMENT ITEMS			
The subject utilises Charles Sturt supported online submission (this is embedded within the	N/A		
Brightspace Submission Tool) for electronic assignment return. The 'Turnitin Assignment Portal' as the online submission method for written tasks aligns with Charles Sturt's approach to academic integrity and supports efficient grading and feedback.			
The assessment submission details are correct and clearly defined for each cohort (internal or online).			
FEEDBACK PROCESSES			
The assessment feedback process described in the Subject Outline are consistent with Charles Sturt policy .			
CHANGES AND ACTIONS BASED ON STUDENT FEEDBACK			
Action items identified in QUASAR are addressed with a statement explaining what changes have be made to the subject design and/or delivery since the prior offering.	en		

FURTHER ASSESSMENT	
Text provided for Option A OR option B is included. Author to select option A or option B:	
Option A: include the following text:	
Further assessment is not offered in this subject.	
Option B: include ALL of the following text:	

A student may be offered further assessment where they have:

- gained a marginal fail grade for the subject overall (45-49.4%); OR
- failed one or more of the hurdle assessment tasks but achieved an overall subject mark of 50% or over.

The Subject Convener will decide the form of the further assessment, in consultation with the Head of School or delegate. Further assessment may take the form of an additional exam (AE) or other additional assessment task (AA). The further assessment task(s) need not be the same type of assessment item as the task(s) failed.

A student who passes an additional assessment (AA) or additional examination (AE) can only receive a bare pass grade (PS or SY) in the subject (maximum mark of 50% for the subject).

A student who submits or sits a further assessment but is awarded an FL or US grade may seek a review of the grade.

Applications for special consideration to be granted a deferred exam (DX).

To be granted a deferred exam, a student must apply for special consideration:

- as soon as possible after the illness or adverse circumstances arise, and no later than three working days after the exam date (unless the circumstances prevent them from submitting the application sooner); and
- · must attach documents from a third party confirming the date of the circumstance and/or the date(s) of its impact.

Where a student is granted a deferred exam but then sits the original exam that the deferred exam was to replace:

- the student has in effect decided that they are fit to sit the original exam; so
- the student will receive the mark for the exam they have chosen to sit.

Where a student begins sitting an exam but leaves the exam early because of adverse circumstances such as illness, they must apply for special consideration to be granted a deferred exam.

A student must apply for special consideration:

- as soon as possible after the illness or adverse circumstances arise, and no later than three working days after the exam date (unless the circumstances prevent them from submitting the application sooner); and
- must attach documents from a third party confirming the date of the circumstance and/or the date(s) of its impact.

Where a student applies for a deferred exam but the outcome is not issued before the original exam is held, and the student sits the exam:

- their original application for special consideration will be annulled; and
- they will receive the mark for the exam they have sat; but
- if the student believes that their performance in the exam was impaired by their adverse circumstances, they can apply for consideration of this through the review of grade process.

Where a student sits an exam and does not leave early, they are considered to have decided that they are fit to sit the exam and no application for special consideration to have a deferred exam in the subject will be considered.